

Chapter two :- IDEALS $\Rightarrow$ المثابرة

Defention:-

Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and S a subring of R :

1. S is called a right ideal of $R \iff a \cdot r \in S$
 $\forall a \in S; \forall r \in R \dots$
2. S is called a left ideal of $R \iff r \cdot a \in S$
 $\forall a \in S; \forall r \in R.$
3. S is called left and right ideal of R (or two sided ideal) $\iff a \cdot r \in S$ and $r \cdot a \in S, \forall a \in S; r \in R$

Remark:- In Comm. ring every left ideal is right ideal and conversely.

Example:- The subring $(\mathbb{Z}_e, +, \cdot)$ is an ideal of $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$

* since if $a = 2n \in \mathbb{Z}_e$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, then
 $r \cdot a = a \cdot r = (2n) \cdot r = 2(nr) \in \mathbb{Z}_e$

Theorem:- Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring, and let $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq R$
Then S is an ideal of R iff:-

1. $a - b \in S \quad \forall a, b \in S.$
2. $a \cdot r \in S$ and $r \cdot a \in S. \quad \forall a \in S; r \in R$

Examples:-

① Consider $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$, $\emptyset \neq \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

here we have $5 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\frac{1}{3} \in \mathbb{R}$, but $5 \cdot \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{3} \notin \mathbb{Z}$
 $\therefore \mathbb{Z}$ is not an ideal of $\mathbb{R}$

② $\emptyset \neq \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{R}$ but $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$

Then $\mathbb{Q}$ is not ideal in $\mathbb{R}$

③ Find all ideals of $(\mathbb{Z}_6, +, \cdot)$.

$$I_1 = (1) = \mathbb{Z}_6, \quad I_2 = \{0\}, \quad I_3 = \{0, 2, 4\}, \quad I_4 = \{0, 3\}$$

ملحوظة:- جميع الحلقاء الجزئية من حلقة $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +, \cdot)$ هي تسجل Ideal فقط في حلقة $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +, \cdot)$. أي أنه بصورة عامة ليس كل Subring هو تسجل Ideal

Example:- Consider the ring $(M_2(\mathbb{Z}), +, \cdot)$ and let:

1. $S_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$; Is S_1 left (right) ideal of $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$?
2. $S_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$; Is S_2 left (right) ideal of $(M_2(\mathbb{Z}))$?
3. $S_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$; Is S_3 left (right) ideal of $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$?

Solution:-

1. $\emptyset \neq S_1 \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{Z})$

Let: $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \in S_1$; $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\therefore \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 - a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 - b_2 \end{pmatrix} \in S_1.$$

Let $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ t & w \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$; $x, y, t, w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\therefore \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ t & w \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xa_1 & yb_1 \\ ta_1 & wb_1 \end{pmatrix} \notin S_1.$$

$\therefore S_1$ is not Left ideal.

Now for the (right):-

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ t & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1x & a_1y \\ b_1t & b_1w \end{pmatrix} \notin S_1.$$

$\therefore S_1$ is not right ideal.

2. $\emptyset \neq S_2 \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{Z})$

Let $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S_2$; $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\therefore \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 - a_2 & b_1 - b_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S_2$$

and Let $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ t & w \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$; $x, y, t, w \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\therefore \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ t & w \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xa_1 & xb_1 \\ ta_1 & tb_1 \end{pmatrix} \notin S_2$$

$\therefore S_2$ is not left ideal.

Now for the (right):—

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ t & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1x + b_1t & a_1y + b_1w \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S_2$$

$\therefore S_2$ is a right ideal of $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$

3. For S_3 is H.W.

Example:- let $R = (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, \oplus, \odot)$

And let:- $S_1 = \{(a, b); a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

$S_2 = \{(a, b); a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{Z}_e\}$

$S_3 = \{(a, b); a \in \mathbb{Z}_e, b \in \mathbb{Z}_e\}$

$S_4 = \{(a, 0); a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

IS S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 an ideal of R .

Note:- Definition: Direct sum of Rings.

let $(R, +, \cdot)$ and $(R', +', \cdot')$ be any two rings

let $X = R \times R' = \{(a, b); a \in R, b \in R'\}$ we define $\oplus, \odot$ on X by

$$(a, b) \oplus (c, d) = (a + c, b + d)$$

$$(a, b) \odot (c, d) = (a \cdot c, b \cdot d)$$

Then $(X, \oplus, \odot)$ is a ring.

Solution :- (2) $\emptyset \neq S_2 \subseteq R$

Let $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in S_2$; $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_e$

$$\therefore (a_1, b_1) - (a_2, b_2) = (a_1 - a_2, b_1 - b_2) \in S_2$$

$$\text{Since } a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow a_1 - a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_e \Rightarrow b_1 - b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_e$$

Let $(x, y) \in R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

$$(x, y) \odot (a_1, b_1) = (xa_1, yb_1) \in S_2$$

$$\text{Since } x \in \mathbb{Z}, a_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow xa_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$y \in \mathbb{Z}, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_e \Rightarrow yb_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_e$$

and we have $(a_1, b_1) \odot (x, y) = (a_1x, b_1y) \in S_2$.

$\therefore S_2$ is an ideal (Left, Right) of $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Solution: (4) $\emptyset \neq S_4 \subseteq R$

Let $(a_1, 0), (a_2, 0) \in S_4$; $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\Rightarrow (a_1, 0) - (a_2, 0) = (a_1 - a_2, 0) \in S_4$$

$$\text{Since } a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow a_1 - a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Let $(x, y) \in R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

$$\therefore (x, y) \odot (a_1, 0) = (xa_1, 0) \in S_4$$

$$\text{Since } x, a_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow xa_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and we have $(a_1, 0) \odot (x, y) = (a_1x, 0) \in S_4$

$$\because a_1, x \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow a_1x \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$\therefore S_4$ is an ideal (left, Right) of $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

1 and 3 is a H.W.

Remarks :-

1. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring with unity 1 , I be an ideal of R . If $1 \in I$, then $I = R$.

Proof:- $I \subseteq R$ (by def. of ideal).

and $\forall r \in R \Rightarrow r = r \cdot 1$ (1 is the identity of R)

but $r \in R, 1 \in I \Rightarrow r \cdot 1 \in I$ (I is an ideal)

$\therefore r \in I \Rightarrow R \subseteq I$, Thus $R = I$.

② Let R be a ring with unity 1 and I is an ideal of R . $a \in R$. If a is an invertible element and $a \in I$, then $I = R$.

Proof:- let $a \in I$ and a is an invertible element.

then $\exists a^{-1} \in R$ s.t. $aa^{-1} = 1$.

therefore $1 = a \cdot a^{-1} \in I$ (I is an ideal of R)

$\Rightarrow 1 \in I$ (by using no. 1)

Theorem:- let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and let I, J be two ideals of R . Then $(I \cap J, +, \cdot)$ is an ideal of R .

Proof: $\emptyset \neq I \cap J \subseteq R$ (since $0 \in I, 0 \in J \Rightarrow 0 \in I \cap J$).

let $a, b \in I \cap J, r \in R$

$\therefore a, b \in I \cap J \Rightarrow a \in I \wedge b \in I, a \in J \wedge b \in J$

$\therefore a - b \in I \wedge a - b \in J$

and $a \cdot r \in I \wedge r \cdot a \in I$ (since I is an ideal of R)

$a \cdot r \in J \wedge r \cdot a \in J$ (since J is an ideal of R)

Thus $a - b \in I \cap J$ and $r \cdot a \in I \cap J, a \cdot r \in I \cap J$.

$\therefore I \cap J$ is an ideal of R .

Remark:- The union of two ideals of ring R is not necessary an ideal of R , for example:

$(\mathbb{Z}_6, +, \cdot)$, (2) and (3) are ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_6$

But $(2) \cup (3) = \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$ not ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_6$

Since $4, 3 \in (2) \cup (3) \Rightarrow 4-3 \notin (2) \cup (3)$.

Definition:- Let R be a comm. ring with unity and $a \in R$. Let $I = \{r \cdot a : r \in R\}$. Then I is an ideal of R and this ideal is called a principle ideal generated by a and denoted by (a) , $\text{id}(a)$, $\langle a \rangle$.

Example: ① Consider the ring $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$

$$\text{id}(2) = \{r \cdot 2 : r \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \dots\}$$

$$\text{id}(-2) = \{r \cdot (-2) : r \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{0, \mp 2, \mp 4, \dots\}$$

$$\therefore \text{id}(a) = \text{id}(-a) \quad (\text{ملاحظة})$$

$$\text{and } \text{id}(1) = \mathbb{Z}, \text{id}(0) = \{0\}$$

② Consider the ring $(\mathbb{Z}_6, +, \cdot)$

$$\text{id}(1) = \{r \cdot 1, r \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathbb{Z}_6$$

$$\text{id}(0) = \{0\}$$

$$\text{id}(2) = \{r \cdot 2 : r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

$$= \{0 \cdot 2, 1 \cdot 2, 2 \cdot 2, 3 \cdot 2, 4 \cdot 2, 5 \cdot 2\}$$

$$= \{0, 2, 4, 0, 2, 4\} = \{0, 2, 4\}$$

Some Operations on ideals:-

Definition: Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and I, J be two ideals of R . Define $I+J = \{x+y : x \in I \wedge y \in J\}$. Then we have $I+J = \{x+y : x \in I \wedge y \in J\}$ is called the ~~sum~~ sum of I and J .

Remark: $I+J$ is an ideal of a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$, where I, J are ideals of R .

Proof:- Since $I+J \subseteq R$ (by Defⁿ of ideal)

and $I+J \neq \emptyset$, since $0 = 0+0 \in I+J$

Now, Let x_1+y_1 and $x_2+y_2 \in I+J$

s.t. $x_1, x_2 \in I$ and $y_1, y_2 \in J$

$$(x_1+y_1) - (x_2+y_2) = (x_1-x_2) + (y_1-y_2) \in I+J$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since, } x_1, x_2 \in I &\Rightarrow (x_1-x_2) \in I \\ y_1, y_2 \in J &\Rightarrow (y_1-y_2) \in J \end{aligned} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \because I, J \text{ are} \\ \text{two ideals of } R \end{array} \right\}$$

Let $r \in R$, $r \cdot (x_1+y_1) = rx_1 + ry_1 \in I+J$

$$x_1 \in I \Rightarrow r \cdot x_1 \in I$$

$$y_1 \in J \Rightarrow r \cdot y_1 \in J$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \because I \wedge J \\ \text{are two ideals of } R \end{array} \right\}$$

Similarly: $(x_1+y_1) \cdot r \in I+J$

Then $I+J$ is an ideal of R .

Remark:- let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a comm. ring and $a, b \in R$
Then $\text{id}(a, b) = \text{id}(a) + \text{id}(b)$.

Example:- ① In $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$

$$* \text{id}(3) + \text{id}(4) = \text{id}(3, 4) = \text{id}(1) = \mathbb{Z}$$

$$* \text{id}(2) + \text{id}(4) = \text{id}(2, 4) = \text{id}(2) = \mathbb{Z}_e$$

② Consider $(\mathbb{Z}_{12}, +, \cdot)$ ring.

$$\text{let } I = \text{id}(2), J = \text{id}(3), K = \text{id}(4), L = \text{id}(6)$$

then:

$$* K + L = \text{id}(4) + \text{id}(6) = \{0, 4, 8\} + \{0, 6\}$$

$$= \{0+0, 0+6, 4+0, 4+6, 8+0, 8+6\}$$

$$= \{0, 6, 4, 10, 8, 2\} = \text{id}(2)$$

$$\text{and } L + L = \text{id}(6) + \text{id}(6) = \{0, 6\} + \{0, 6\} = \{0, 6\} = L$$

$$J + L = \{0, 3, 6, 9\}$$

$$I + L = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10\} = \text{id}(2)$$

Remark:- let R be a ring, I be an ideal of R

$$\text{Then } I + I = I$$

Proof:- It's clear that $I \subseteq I + I$

Now to prove $I + I \subseteq I$:

For all $x + y \in I + I$; $x \in I \wedge y \in I$

$\therefore x + y \in I$ (I is an ideal of R)

Thus $I + I \subseteq I$, and then $I + I = I$

Definition:- let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring, I, J be two ideals of R . Let $I \cdot J = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i : a_i \in I, b_i \in J \right\}$
 then $I \cdot J$ called the product of I and J .

Remark:- $IJ \subseteq I$ and $IJ \subseteq J$

Proof:- To prove that $IJ \subseteq I$

$$\text{let } x \in IJ \Rightarrow x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i, \quad a_i \in I, b_i \in J$$

$$\therefore x = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + a_3 b_3 + \dots + a_n b_n, \quad \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

$$\text{but } a_i \in I \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$

$$\Rightarrow a_i b_i \in I \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in I \quad (\text{since } + \text{ is closed on } I)$$

$$\Rightarrow IJ \subseteq I$$

by same way we have : $IJ \subseteq J$.

Example:- Consider $(\mathbb{Z}_6, +, \cdot)$, let $I = \{0, 2, 4\}$, $J = \{0, 3\}$

Then $a_i \cdot b_i = 0 \quad \forall a_i \in I \text{ and } b_i \in J$

$$\therefore I \cdot J = \{0\}$$

Definition:- Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a comm. ring with unity 1
Then $(R, +, \cdot)$ is called field $\Leftrightarrow \forall a \in R, a \neq 0$, we have a is an invertible element.

Example:-

- 1- $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$, $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$, $(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$ are fields.
- 2- $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +, \cdot)$ is not field.
- 3- direct sum of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ with $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ is not a field.
- since all elements of the form $(a, 0)$ and $(0, b)$ $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$ are not invertible elements.

Example:- Is $(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}], +, \cdot)$ field?

Solution:- (The ring $(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}], +, \cdot)$ it has element of the form $a + b\sqrt{3}$, such that $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$)

Now let $x = 1 + 3\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{x} = \frac{1}{1+3\sqrt{3}} = \frac{1-3\sqrt{3}}{(1+3\sqrt{3})(1-3\sqrt{3})} = \frac{1-3\sqrt{3}}{-26}$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{x} = -\frac{1}{26} + \frac{3}{26}\sqrt{3} \notin \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$$

$\therefore \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ is not field.

Definition:- let $(F, +, \cdot)$, $(K, +, \cdot)$ be two fields s.t
 $F \subseteq K$, Then F is called a subfield of K .

Remark:- let $(F, +, \cdot)$ be a field and let $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq F$
Then $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a subfield of F iff:-

- 1) $a - b \in S \quad \forall a, b \in S$
- 2) $a \cdot b^{-1} \in S \quad \forall a, b \in S$

Example:- $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \{a + b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

Then $(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}], +, \cdot)$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$.

Solⁿ:- let $a + b\sqrt{2}$, $c + d\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$, Then

1. $(a + b\sqrt{2}) - (c + d\sqrt{2}) = (a - c) + (b - d)\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$

2. $(a + b\sqrt{2}) \cdot (c + d\sqrt{2})^{-1} = (a + b\sqrt{2}) \cdot \frac{1}{(c + d\sqrt{2})} = \frac{(a + b\sqrt{2})}{(c + d\sqrt{2})}$

$$= \frac{(a + b\sqrt{2})}{(c + d\sqrt{2})} \cdot \frac{c - d\sqrt{2}}{c - d\sqrt{2}}$$

$$= \frac{ac + ad\sqrt{2} + cb\sqrt{2} - 2bd}{c^2 - 2d^2} = \frac{(ac - 2bd) + (ad + cb)\sqrt{2}}{c^2 - 2d^2}$$

$$= \frac{ac - 2bd}{c^2 - 2d^2} + \frac{ad + cb}{c^2 - 2d^2} \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$$

$\therefore \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ is subfield of $\mathbb{R}$